

February 19, 2004
Case No.: PHUS010508 (7790/338)
Serial No.: 09/970,960
Filed: October 4, 2001
Page 6 of 6

REMARKS

The Applicant asserts that the amendments of claims 15-18 and 20 herein obviate Examiner Spear's objection to the drawings, rejection of claims 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention, and rejection of claim 20 under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶1 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Dated: **February 19, 2004**

Respectfully submitted,
Michael Thomas Swab

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
& STANDARDS
P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

Jack D. Slobod
Registration No. 26,236
Attorney for Applicant



CARDINAL LAW GROUP
Suite 2000
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Phone: (847) 905-7111
Fax: (847) 905-7113

Darrin Wesley Harris
Registration No. 40,636
Attorney for Applicant